Climate Change and Watershed Hydrology: Part II – Hydrologic Implications for British Columbia


The accompanying article described recent climate changes in British Columbia. These changes are likely to result in adjustments in watershed hydrology and ultimately in our use of water-related resources. Increased risks of droughts, floods, and landslides will likely result in considerable socio-economic, biological, and physical changes. Future climate change will bring about greater changes and challenge our management of forest and range resources. As a result of current trends and future climate projections, the following high-level hydrologic-related changes may be expected to occur:

- increased atmospheric evaporative demand;
- altered vegetation composition affecting evaporation and interception;
- increased stream/lake temperatures;
- increased frequency/magnitude of storm events and disturbances;
- decreased snow accumulation and accelerated melt;
- accelerated melting of permafrost, lake ice, and river ice;
- glacier mass balance (advance/recession) adjustments; and
- altered timing and magnitude of streamflow (peak flows, low flows).

Increased Atmospheric Evaporative Demand

The climate scenarios previously described could increase the atmosphere’s ability to evaporate water (Huntington 2008). This will occur if the saturated vapour pressure of the air (a function of air temperature) increases more rapidly than the actual vapour pressure (i.e., the vapour pressure deficit increases). It will also increase if net radiation and wind speed increase. An increase in evaporative demand would significantly affect water resources through evaporative losses from streams, lakes, and reservoirs, and changing water demand for irrigation. It will also affect vegetation survival and growth through changes in water availability and likely increased fire risk. For example, Spittlehouse (2007) determined the magnitude of change in evaporative demand (calculated following Allen et al. 1998; Moore et al. 2008) for the Campbell River, Cranbrook, and Fort St. John areas using current weather station data and climate change data for the B1 and A2 scenar-
Evaporative demand, which is calculated for months when the air temperature is above 0°C, increased at all locations due to an increase in the length of the time the air temperature was above 0°C and to an increase in the vapour pressure deficit (drier air). By the 2080s, evaporative demand will increase by about 8% under the B1 scenario and by 15–20% under the A2 scenario.

Estimates of the evaporative demand and precipitation can be combined to give indicators of plant water stress and to predict water demand for agricultural irrigation and domestic use. A climatic moisture deficit occurs if the monthly precipitation is less than the evaporative demand for the month; if precipitation is greater than the evaporative demand, there is a moisture surplus. By the 2080s under the B1 scenario, Spittlehouse (2007) reported that the deficit at Campbell River increased by 20%, at Fort St John by 25%, and at Cranbrook by 30%. For the A2 scenario, Campbell River and Fort St John increased by 30% while Cranbrook increased by 60%. The larger increase at Cranbrook reflects the decrease in summer rainfall and an initially relatively low average deficit for 1961 to 1990 reference period. A moisture surplus did not occur during the summer at any of the locations (Spittlehouse 2007).

**Increased Stream/Lake Temperatures**

Stream and lake temperatures are projected to increase due to climate change, which can result in a number of specific concerns for water and fish species, including salmon (Levy 1992; Mote et al. 2003). The vulnerability of fish to change will depend on how much the water body warms and the sensitivity of individual fish species to temperature. Responses to increased temperature will generally be defined by fish species or specific stocks, and how these changes will affect the various life stages (from egg to spawning adult). Increased temperatures in temperature-sensitive systems may result in increased frequencies of disease, increased energy expenditures, altered growth, thermal barriers to both adult and juvenile migration, delayed spawning, reduced spawner survival, altered egg and juvenile development, changes in biological productivity and other rearing conditions, and altered species distribution.

Watersheds with warm water temperatures or low flows that currently affect salmonid survival are centred in the southwest, southern Interior, and central Interior of British Columbia (Nelitz et al. 2007). Under a changing climate, it is projected these areas will be further stressed. Salmonids show species-specific thermal optima and tolerances (Selong et al. 2001; Bear et al. 2007); even small (1–2°C) differences in these parameters may result in marked differences in species distribution (Fausch et al. 1994). Distribution changes may be the direct result of the effects of water temperature on fish physiology or indirectly a consequence of displacement of temperature-sensitive species such as bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus) by competing species such as rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Therefore, shifts in population distributions may be unavoidable and likely will result in the loss of salmonids in some areas where habitat conditions are currently close to tolerable limits (Nelitz et al. 2007). The effects of increased water temperatures are likely to be compounded wherever hydrologic regime changes reduce seasonal flows. For example, the limits of fish distribution in headwater areas can be further altered with changes in the abundance and distribution of perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral watercourses.

Alternatively, in regions or specific water bodies where current temperatures are below thermal optima for fish, or temperature sensitivity is not a concern, increased water temperatures may promote fish growth and survival. Even minor temperature increments can change egg hatch dates and increase seasonal growth and in-stream survival in juvenile salmon. At Carnation Creek, minor changes in stream temperatures in the fall and winter due to forest harvesting profoundly affected salmonid populations, accelerating egg and alevin development rates, emergence timing, seasonal growth, and the tim-
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As vegetation composition responds to climate change, so too will the amounts of water intercepted, evaporated, and transpired, thus altering water balance and ultimately streamflow.
ing of seaward migration (Tschaplinski et al. 2004). A useful species and life stage specific summary of potential biological vulnerabilities to climate-induced changes in water flows and temperatures can be found in Nelitz et al. (2007).

**Increased Frequency/Magnitude of Storm Events and Disturbances**

Storm frequency and intensity are projected to increase (IPCC 2007), likely raising the frequency of windthrow, breakage of trees, and flooding. An increase in the intensity of storms could also increase the frequency of the occurrence of landslides (Miles 2001). Landslides in British Columbia are largely driven by climate, but respond differently depending on the type of landslide and initiating process (Geertsema et al. 2007). In northern British Columbia, shallow slides and debris flows happen during infrequent large storms; large rock slides appear to respond to warming and may be triggered during convective storms; larger soil slides are more common during periods of increasing precipitation (Egginton et al. 2007). Prolonged periods of increased precipitation or temperature increase the vulnerability of slopes to failure. This is due to soil saturation and (or) destabilization from melting snow or ice, which is expected to be further enhanced under current climate change scenarios. For the Georgia Basin, Miles (2001) reported that a 10% increase in annual precipitation over 80 years could affect the average return period between 24-hour rainfall events and events large enough to initiate slope failures could decrease from 10.4 to 6.3 years. Fluctuating winter temperatures and storm cycles may also increase avalanche activity. The implications of increased incidence of disturbance events can lead to increased rates of erosion/sedimentation, increased number of landslide-derived log jams, increased channel destabilization, and eventually decreased large woody debris supply from the channel banks, ultimately affecting stream channel form and riparian function. Changes to the return period of events also have implications for engineering design criteria.

All of these altered processes and frequencies have implications for stream ecology and fish populations. Disturbances such as landslides and debris flows directly connected to stream channels reduce the quantity and quality of fish habitats, and consequently the local abundance of salmon populations in impacted stream reaches (Hartman and Scrivener 1990; Tschaplinski et al. 2004). Additionally, related processes such as local streambed scour (degradation) can isolate the main stream channel from important seasonal fish habitats and refuges located in the floodplain, thus potentially reducing salmon survival and annual smolt production (Hartman and Scrivener 1990; Tschaplinski et al. 2004).

**Decreased Snow Accumulation and Accelerated Melt**

Increased temperatures as a result of climate change will lead to a continued decrease in snow accumulation (Rodenhuis et al. 2007), earlier melt (Figure 3), and less water storage for spring freshet (Stewart et al. 2004) and (or) to release to groundwater storage in British Columbia. The projected declines are most notable in the central and northern Coast of British Columbia and at high-elevation sites along the South Coast (Rodenhuis et al. 2007). The anticipated greater warming in the winter than the summer will impact snow levels. Average snowlines will migrate north in latitude and up in elevation in response to increasing temperatures with time. Watersheds that would be the most sensitive to change are those occupying the border between rainfall and snow deposition in the winter (mixed regimes). With projections of concurrent increases in precipitation, this thermal effect will be somewhat offset compared with changes resulting from increased temperature alone (Figure 1). However, if a large portion of winter precipitation shifts to rain, the amount and timing of discharge (see Streamflow discussion below) will significantly change. It is expected that

![Figure 1. Simulated winter snow depth for Glacier National Park, Rogers Pass under current (winter 2001/02) temperature and precipitation (blue line) and three climate change scenarios. The scenarios are 2°C warming (increase to daily temperature record for winter 2001/02) with no precipitation change (green line), 4°C warming with no change in precipitation (yellow line), and 4°C warming and a 10% increase in precipitation (red line). Winter 2001/02 had close to average October to April precipitation and snow on the ground at the end of March and April for Glacier. Source: Spittlehouse (2007).](image-url)
decreased storage of winter precipitation will likely reduce the magnitude of the spring peak flow, and exacerbate summer low-flow conditions. Hydrologic scenarios for snowmelt-dominated basins in the Okanagan were projected to change in this way (Merritt et al. 2006); however, the degree of change projected depended on the GCM used. Changes in seasonal snow accumulation and melt will result in changes to the streamflow regime, which has important implications for water supply, hydroelectric power, fish, and aquatic habitat. Less snow also has major implications for winter recreation.

**Accelerated Melting of Permafrost, Lake Ice, and River Ice**

Rising temperatures will be affect ice-related hydrologic features. Projections of milder winter temperatures mean that river and lake ice could occur later and disappear earlier than normal. These hydrologic changes will have implications on forest harvest scheduling (operable ground, seasonal water tables, timing), transportation (ice bridges), and recreation (fishing opportunities). Recent analysis has shown that permafrost in many regions of North America is also warming (Brown et al. 2004); in northern British Columbia, discontinuous permafrost can also be expected to respond to changes in temperature and precipitation. Like glaciers, not all permafrost in existence today is in equilibrium with the present climate. Unlike glaciers, adjustment to present climate lags on a longer time scale due to the insulating effects of the ground. In the discontinuous permafrost region, where ground temperatures are within 1–2°C of melting, permafrost will likely disappear as a result of ground thermal changes associated with global warming (NRCAN 2006). In areas where the ice content is high, thawing of permafrost can lead to increased thaw settlement and thermokarst activity, while reduced soil strength in response to melt will lead to ground instability, increasing the incidence of slope failures (Smith and Burgess 2004). The integrity of engineered structures such as bridge footings, building foundations, roads, railways, and pipelines will also be affected (Woo et al. 2007). The overall thermal response of permafrost to increased temperatures will depend on surface buffer factors such as snow, vegetation, and organic ground cover that can attenuate temperature changes (Smith and Burgess 2004).

**Glacier Mass Balance (Advance/Recession) Adjustments**

Given the future climate change projections, it is expected that most glaciers in British Columbia will continue to recede, except those at the coldest locations (Rodenhuis et al. 2007). Hall and Fagre (2003) modelled glacier dynamics in Montana’s Glacier National Park under two scenarios. In the first, with a doubling of CO2, all glaciers disappeared by 2030. In the second, with a linear increase in temperature over time, glaciers remained until 2277. In British Columbia’s southern Rocky Mountains, Parks Canada (2005) predicts that glaciers less than 100 m thick will disappear within 20 years. The effects of these changes impact hydrologic function and in some cases hydrologic regimes. Negative glacier mass balance should result in increased summer streamflows for some years or decades as glacier melt accelerates due to warming temperatures. This effect will be followed by a larger decrease when the glaciers eventually disappear, or drop to some small proportion of the watershed area. The reduction to elimination of the glacial melt streamflow component that augments summer low flows in many watersheds will result in more low-flow days on these streams. Already, evidence supports this hypothesis. Stahl and Moore (2006) reported that, since 1970, glacier-fed streams in British Columbia have exhibited a decreasing trend for August streamflow. Future projections of August streamflow in the 2050s for Bridge River show marked reductions in glacier area and summer streamflow, even assuming that the present climate continues. These trends are even stronger for the warming scenarios downscaled from GCM simulations, decreasing by 37% (Stahl et al. 2008).

**Altered Streamflow (Peak Flows, Low Flows)**

Streamflow regimes are controlled primarily by watershed geology and seasonal patterns of temperature and precipitation. British Columbia has four primary hydrologic regimes: (1) rain-dominated, (2) snowmelt-dominated, (3) mixed/hybrid, and (4) glacier-augmented (Eaton and Moore 2007). The relative importance of climatic changes, therefore, will vary by region depending on the current sensitivity to regional temperature and precipitation changes. Also, ground-water storage and release strongly control streamflow (e.g., low flows) in some watersheds. Variations in underlying geology that influence whether snowmelt goes into groundwater reserves or into direct runoff can strongly influence the magnitude and timing of late summertime streamflow and thus influence the magnitude of the response to climate change (Thompson 2007).

Rain-dominated (sometimes called pluvial) regimes closely follow the seasonal pattern of precipitation. These regimes are found mostly in lowland and coastal areas in British Columbia. Rain-dominated regimes typically experience peak flows in the winter, with low flows occurring in summer. Snowmelt-dominated (nival) regimes occur in the Interior Plateau and mountain region and at higher elevations in the Coast Mountains (Eaton and Moore 2007). Snowmelt-dominated regimes typically experience peak flows in the spring (as a result of snowmelt) with low flows in the late summer extending through the winter during the snow accumulation period. Watersheds that display the characteristics of both of these regimes are referred to as mixed or hybrid regimes (Eaton and Moore 2007).
regimes can have significant streamflow (peaks) in both winter (as a result of rain) and spring (as a result of snowmelt from higher elevations). Low flows occur in summer in these watersheds. Hydrographs of glacier-fed (glacier-augmented) streams are similar in shape to those of snowmelt-dominated watersheds, except the low-flow period begins later in the summer to early fall due to the extra summer contributions from glacial meltwater.

The hydrologic effects of climate change will likely have an important impact on watersheds where cold-season precipitation is stored in snowpacks. The response of rain-dominated regimes will likely follow predicted changes in precipitation (Loukas et al. 2002). For example, an increased magnitude and frequency of storm events will result in an increased frequency and magnitude of storm-driven peak flows in the winter. In the summer, projected drier summers raise concerns around increased number and magnitude of low-flow days.

Projected warming will result in less snow stored over winter, and more winter precipitation will fall as rain. In these situations, mixed/hybrid regimes might transition to rain-dominated regimes through the weakening or elimination of the snowmelt component (Whitfield et al. 2002; Eaton and Moore 2007). Similarly, snowmelt-dominated watersheds might exhibit characteristics of hybrid regimes and glacial-augmented systems might shift to a more snowmelt-dominated pattern in the timing and magnitude of annual peak flows and low flows. For example, in the southern Columbia Mountains at Redfish Creek, there has been an observed increase in the incidence of fall–early winter peak streamflow events that up to 10 years ago were relatively rare in the hydrometric record (P. Jordan, pers. comm., Dec. 2007). With the projected elevated temperatures, there will be a shorter snow-accumulation season and likely an earlier start to the spring freshet in snowmelt-dominated systems, which may lengthen the period of late summer and early autumn low flows (Loukas et al. 2002; Merritt et al. 2006). In watersheds where snow is the primary source for summer streamflow, loss of winter snowpack may reduce the late summer drainage network, with perennial streams becoming intermittent (Thompson 2007). Conversely, watersheds where groundwater is the source of summertime streamflow will still continue to flow, albeit under reduced volumes (Thompson 2007) in response to changes in seasonal snowpack accumulation that recharge groundwater.

In hybrid-regime watersheds on the coast, snowpacks above 1000–1200 m can be up to 4–5 m in depth (e.g., Russell Creek), especially in north-facing open bowls or subalpine forests (B. Floyd, pers. comm., 2007). Snowpacks in these hybrid regimes can be deep enough to store a significant amount of rain, thus dampening the response of watersheds to large midwinter rain events. If these snowpacks no longer form or are very shallow and are coupled with increases in temperature, large midwinter snowfall events will change to large rain events, thereby increasing the frequency of peak flows occurring throughout the winter in these watersheds. Subsequently, spring peak flows will be reduced and occur earlier due to less precipitation being stored as snow during the winter, and winter flows will be greater if precipitation falls as rain instead of snow.

In glacier-augmented systems, as previously discussed, peak flows would decrease and occur earlier in the year, similar to snowmelt-dominated regimes. In the long term, the reduction or elimination of the glacial meltwater component in the summer/early fall would increase the frequency and duration of low-flow days in these systems.

Conclusion

British Columbia’s climate has changed over the last 100 years and will continue to shift. The accompanying changes and the associated hydrologic implications will have many important implications for fisheries, agriculture, forestry, recreation, hydroelectric power, and water resources, yet these changes will vary in importance according to local conditions. The projected impacts of climate change on streamflow will vary across the province and hence local mitigation and adaptation strategies will likely be needed to ensure the effective stewardship of watershed resources and associated values.
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