

Selecting, Applying and Interpreting **Climate Projections** for Hydrologic Modelling: The **Forcing Ensemble** CSHS Workshop July 21st, 2022 Arelia T. Schoeneberg (née Werner)



Objectives

- To describe goals for Hydrologic Projections study design
- To introduce PCIC's methods for GCM selection
- To understand the strengths and weaknesses of PCIC's climate projections
 - Downscaling Method
 - Gridded Observations
- To walk through
 - Analysis Tool

https://pacificclimate.org/analysis-tools/pcic-climate-explorer

• Data Portal

https://pacificclimate.org/data/statistically-downscaled-climate-scenarios

Possible future hydrologic conditions are estimated by forcing a hydrologic model with multiple future climate conditions.



Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

The Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) used in the fifth Coupled Model Intercomparison Projection (CMIP₅) describe emissions pathways leading to different levels of warming by the end of this century.

RCP 8.5 refers to the concentration of carbon that delivers global warming at an average of 8.5 watts per square meter across the planet.



Figure 1: The emission of GHGs such as carbon dioxide (CO2) into the atmosphere (left) alters their global mean concentrations (centre), which results in a net radiative forcing (right; Aside). The magnitude of the radiative forcing, along with various feedback processes, determines how much the climate will change. This figure shows results for the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs, solid lines) of CMIP5 and Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs, dashed lines) of CMIP6. After O'Neill et al. (2016).

We are certain that the future is uncertain, that is why we have to look at <u>range</u> of possible futures.

Temperature change Alaska/NW Canada annual

5



https://pacificclimate.org/sites/default/files/publications/Revised_Hydro_Scenarios_ENV_Water_Use_Allocation_Report_21Jun2021.pdf

7/18/2022 Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

We are certain that the future is uncertain, that is why we have to look at <u>range</u> of possible futures.

Temperature change Alaska/NW Canada annual

6



Range in mean temperature change at the end of the century between GCMs and natural variability for RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5

https://pacificclimate.org/sites/default/files/publications/Revised_Hydro_Scenarios_ENV_Water_Use_Allocation_Report_21Jun2021.pdf

7/18/2022 Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

PCIC has a method for sub-setting the CMIP₅ GCMs that captures the range in future climate by region.

For CMIP5, PCIC employed the algorithm of Katsavounidis-Kuo-Zhang (KKZ), as implemented by Cannon (2015), computing Euclidean distance in multi-dimensional space between standardized (a) WNA (Western North America)

- 1. Select GCM closest to ensemble mean first;
- 2. Select GCM furthest from this model next;
- Select subsequent GCMs to be furthest from the model closest to the centroid of the already-selected models
- 4. Repeat from step 3. until specified stopping criterion (e.g., max. range of changes vs. ensemble) is achieved

Cannon, A.J., 2015: Selecting GCM Scenarios that Span the Range of Changes in a Multimodel Ensemble..., *J. Climate.*, **28**, 1260.



Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

PCIC lists the 12 CMIP5 models that represent 90% of the range in projected change in T and P by Giorgi region.

Order	WNA	ALA	CNA	ENA	GRL
1	CNRM-CM5-r1	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0-r1	CanESM2-r1	MPI-ESM-LR-r3	MPI-ESM-LR-r3
2	CanESM2-r1	HadGEM2-ES-r1	ACCESS1-0-r1	inmcm4-r1	inmcm4-r1
3	ACCESS1-0-r1	inmcm4-r1	inmcm4-r1	CNRM-CM5-r1	CanESM2-r1
4	inmcm4-r1	CanESM2-r1	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0-r1	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0-r1	CNRM-CM5-r1
5	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0-r1	ACCESS1-0-r1	MIROC5-r3	HadGEM2-ES-r1	ACCESS1-0-r1
6	CCSM4-r2	MIROC5-r3	HadGEM2-ES-r1	CanESM2-r1	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0-
7	MIROC5-r3	HadGEM2-CC-r1	MPI-ESM-LR-r3	MRI-CGCM3-r1	HadGEM2-ES-r1
8	MPI-ESM-LR-r3	MRI-CGCM3-r1	CNRM-CM5-r1	CCSM4-r2	MIROC5-r3
9	HadGEM2-CC-r1	CCSM4-r2	CCSM4-r2	MIROC5-r3	HadGEM2-CC-r1
10	MRI-CGCM3-r1	CNRM-CM5-r1	GFDL-ESM2G-r1	ACCESS1-0-r1	CCSM4-r2
11	GFDL-ESM2G-r1	MPI-ESM-LR-r3	HadGEM2-CC-r1	HadGEM2-CC-r1	MRI-CGCM3-r1
12	HadGEM2-ES-r1	GFDL-ESM2G-r1	MRI-CGCM3-r1	GFDL-ESM2G-r1	GFDL-ESM2G-r1

8



Giorgi regions 🖗 that intersect with Canada: Alaska (ALA), Western North America (WNA), Central North America (CNA), Greenland (GRL), Eastern North America (ENA) and Central America (CAM).

Tavg over WNA for six GCMs and two RCPs selected for our Hydrologic Projections.

WNA Mean Average Temperature



GCMs are available at ~100 km grid boxes while we need data to run our hydrologic model at ~10 km.



Statistical Downscaling - Bias Correction/Constructed Analogues with Quantile Mapping Reordering (BCCAQv2)

10

7/18/2022 Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

Bias Correction Statistical Downscaling



Daily Gridded Meteorological Datasets are created from interpolating observed station data to a grid.



Target Daily Gridded Meteorological Datasets Used in Statistical Downscaling at PCIC – **Data Portal**

https://pacificclimate.org/data/daily-gridded-meteorological-datasets



Target Daily Gridded Meteorological Datasets Used in Statistical Downscaling at PCIC - **PNWNAmet**



Target Daily Gridded Meteorological Datasets Used in Statistical Downscaling at PCIC - **NRCANmet**



BCCAQv2 has been found to be stronger than several other statistical downscaling approaches for temporal and spatial representation of daily temp. and prec.



Figure 2: test results across different downscaling methods, that assess the ability of each method to represent temporal sequencing (correlation, purple), distribution of values (blue), and spatial patterns, across different test cases (horizontal bars). For more details refer to Murdock, Hiebert and Sobie (2016).

Bias Correction/Constructed Analogues with Quantile Mapping Reordering (BCCAQv2)

16

7/18/2022 Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

The NRCANmet Daily Gridded Meteorological Dataset has a dry bias in the mountainous regions of BC and the Yukon versus PNWNAmet.



Other websites and tools where PCIC's BCCAQv2 NRCANmet CMIP5 data is used:

• PCIC Climate Data Explorer

https://pacificclimate.org/analysis-tools/pcic-climate-explorer

• Prairie Climate Centre Climate Atlas

https://climateatlas.ca/

• Climatedata.ca

https://climatedata.ca/

• CCCS Climate Data Extraction Tool

https://climate-change.canada.ca/climate-data/#/downscaled-data

• IDF-CC Tool

https://www.idf-cc-uwo.ca/

• Power Analytics and Visualization for Climate Science (PAVICS)

https://www.crim.ca/en/computer-research-institute-of-montreal/achievements/pavicspower-analytics-and-visualization-for-climate-science

BCCAQv2 Statistically Downscaled Climate Scenarios NRCANmet (ANUSPLIN) are available across Canada.



7/18/2022 Hydrologic Projection Study Design – RCPs – GCMs – CMIP5 GCM Selection – BCCAQv2 Statistical Downscaling Technique

One can explore where the GCMs they've selected fit within the PCIC12 on PCIC's Climate Explorer.

→ C services.pacificclimate.org/pce	ex/app/#/data/climo/ce_files							€ ☆	🗎 🗯 🗖 😩
odel 🚯	Emissions Scenario 🕄	Variable 🔒							
CanESM2 V	Historical, then RCP 8.5	▼ pr - Precipitation		~					
			÷						
tered Datasets Summary 🕄	CanESM2 historical, rcp85: pr \rightarrow 18 dat	tasets							
	Ļ					Ļ			
ita Map 6 CanESM2; historica	l, rcp85; r1i1p1 1961-1990: Annual pr (rast	er)	Data Graphs	•	CanESM2 histor	ical, rcp85: pr			
	and the		Annual Cycle 🕄	Long Term Average	Model Context 🔒	Change from Ba	iseline 🚯 🛛 Shi	apshot 🚯	
			2.7 - 2.05 - 2.6 - 2.55 - 2.5 -						
	Trentores	AS	245- 24- 235- 23- 225- 22-						
British Columbu		8.46 Marticle	2.15	1998-07-02 1997-07-02	2025-07- Ca	o2 nESM2 r11p1	2055-07-02		10 2085-07-02
					filtere	ed datasets↓			
K L		4.36	Statistical Sum	mary	CanESM2 histor	ical, rcp85: pr			
	Morrana J	North Dakota	Time of Year 🚯	March	~	Export Data	S XSLX C	SV	
Washington		Minesota	Run 🖘	Averaging Peri	1in 🖡 Max 🖡	Mean 🖘	Median 🖘	Std.Dev 🖘	Units
SM2; historical, rcp85; r11p1 1961-1990: Annual p	r (rașter)	South Dakota U.26 Leaflet © Open StreetMap contributors	r1i1p1	1961 - 1990	0.95 2.01	1.61	1.73	0.32	mm/day
			r1i1p1	1971 - 2000	1.05 2.23	1.78	1.9	0.36	mm/day

Summary

- Because the future is uncertain we chose to look at a range of possible futures.
- PCIC has summarized the top 12 CMIP5 GCMs the cover 90% of the range in T and P by Giorgi climate region on its data portal.
- In our hydrologic projections study, we selected two RCPs and six GCMs to explore uncertainty contributed by emission trajectories, natural climate variability and GCM sensitivity to green house gas forcing.
- We calibrate our hydrologic model to the same Daily Gridded Meteorological Dataset the statistical downscaling (BCCAQv2) is calibrated to.
- For CMIP5 and CMIP6 BCCAQv2 statistically downscaled GCMs, NRCANmet is the Daily Gridded Meteorological Dataset GCMs were bias corrected against.

Tutorial #1 – Selecting and Extracting BCCAQv2 Data

PCIC - CSHS Webinar July 21st, 2022 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM PST Title: "Selecting, Applying and Interpreting Climate Projections for Hydrologic Modelling"

Tutorial #1 - Selecting and Extracting BCCAQv2 Data

Three of the Pacific Climate Impact Consortium's (PCIC's) websites are helpful to hydrologic modellers:

- 1) The Statistical Downscaled Climate Scenarios data portal:
- https://www.pacificclimate.org/data/statistically-downscaled-climate-scenarios
- 2) The Daily Gridded Meteorological Datasets data portal: https://pacificclimate.org/data/daily-gridded-meteorological-datasets
- The PCIC Climate Explorer: <u>https://www.pacificclimate.org/analysis-tools/pcic-climate-explorer</u>

In this exercise, we are going to learn how to use a combination of these tools to select and extract gridded precipitation, minimum and maximum temperature projections for an area of interest. We focus on results from the fifth Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIPS) that were downscaled with BCCAQv2 (Bias Correction/Constructed Analogues with Quantile delta mapping reordering), which is a hybrid method developed at PCIC that combines results from Bias Corrected Constructed Analogs (BCCA; Maurer et al. 2010) and Quantile Delta Mapping (QDM; Cannon et al. 2015). BCCA uses spatial aggregation from a lineer combination of historical analogues for daily large-scale fields. QDM applies a form of quantile mapping where relative changes in GCM quantiles are preserved to avoid inflationary effects that can occur with standard quantile mapping. This technique has been shown to successful in downscaling such that changes in MRCAAmet sa target. <u>MRCAAmet</u> sa target. <u>MRCAAmet</u> set a target. <u>BCCAQv2</u> is an updated version of BCCAQ (version 1), which employed standard quantile mapping. CMIPS GCMs were downscaled using <u>MRCAAmet</u> as a target. <u>MRCAAmet</u> + SRCAQv2 = CanDCS-US is available over all of Canada at ~10 km a side, on a daily time step, for 23 Global Climate Models (GCMs) and 3 Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) (Figure 1).



-1

PCIC - CSHS Webinar July 21st, 2022 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM PST Title: "Selecting, Applying and Interpreting Climate Projections for Hydrologic Modelling"

Running and analyzing multiple hydrologic simulations can be quite onerous and computationally challenging. PCIC proposes a set of 12 GCMs that represent 90% of the range in several climate extremes by Giorgi region (Figure 2). In the case of our recent work with VICGL in BC, we chose a subset of six models and compared the response of each of these models under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5. In addition, to including the top three models for Western North America (WNA): CNRM-CM5-r1, CanESM2-r1 and ACCESS1-0-r1 based on the ranking by Cannon 2015, we also included CCSM4, HadGEM2-ES and MPI-ESM-LR because they were in the PCIC12 and matched those used by other institutes in Canada we collaborate with, such as Ouranos.

					R 12
MODEL 1	ELECTION (CAMPS ONLY)				
Analysis accordin precipita provided in the m if fewer Table. N The 12 (and storage of the full e g to the Table below. The form in all seasons for a r for a number of people ap below the Table. Note than 12 GCM runs are d the fruit only 0 of the 12 GCM runs listed under th	Insentilie is offen not lear or 12 modul nuns listed or suite of indices of extrem offen sub-regions of Notro b, however, that data see leated, they should be o GCM runs are available is WNA region are used.	shie. For the CMIPS ensisting 10% of the range is subure 10% of the range is subure 100% of the range is inferences, thrown as Glo- only available for the par- tosen following the order to RCP2.0. In PCIC's Plan2Adapt an	emble, users are encour of projected changes in t on, 2015; Pleconemends gringtons (Gorg) and P th of the Georgi regions r listed for each sub-regi of PCIC's Clenate Explore	raged to select models comparature and of subsets of models a terricision, 2000), shown that lie within Canada, on in the ter online tools (PCEX).
Photo: I	roundles and Gergi Re	giara			
Physical C Orthor	nuembles and Gergi Re MiltA	ALA	ONA	ENA	GRL
Plouded 1 Orther	WILLA	ALA CSULD (%3)-0-0+1	ChA Ger#SPI2+15	ENA 1991-0511-051-3	GAN, 1992-8301-LA-v3
Ploudel C Orther	texenerchika and Giorgi Ra MILA Childh-CHS-V1 CardidA2-V1	(1000) ALA COLED (163:0-0-13 HARGEN2-40-15	ONA Contesting of a	ENA P#P-859-Un+3 LVN(EN4-5	646, 1475-8594-03-23 1475-074-23
Ploobel C Orther	Image: second comparison Image:	AGA COLED (163-0-0-r3 Haddem2-45-r3 Immorr4-r1	ONA ContESPERTS ACCESSS:=0:<1 Integral:+1_	ENA PP1-859-58-48 Livitiz94-45 OVEN-016-45	6465 PPD-857+UH+73 Damoph-r3 Car45H2+73
Plooted 0 Ovther	Controlling and Daugh Res MINA Chillin CHS v1	2000 ALA CSERD (%3:0-0-1) HARGEN(2-45-7) Prespiral =5 Cale(5972-1)	CNA Cox85FQ-r3 8008681-8-r1 Precesi-r1 C000-r63-8-0-r1	ENA 191-551-58-43 1956/24-43 018N-018-45 0580-563-8-0-41	686, 1910-8319-03-v3 1910(294-v3 Care(\$342-v1 Care(\$342-v1 Care(\$342-v1
Plooded C Orober	Image: State of the s	унна АКА СБЯКО (163-6-0-1) Нафбай2-45-13 (газула) (1 Санёрго-1) АССЕББ5-0-15	CNA ContESPIC+1 4008881-9+1 Freereic+L CSIR0-963-6-0+1 FEROC5+1	ENA 1991-051-121-13 1991(194-13 CVRM-CH5-15 CSIRRO-16-3-4-0-71 HatGCH2-05-15	6465, 1495-8574-04-73 1575029-73 Con45542-73 CO4854-0454-73 ACC85551-0-75

Exercise:

A D D R Manhattana

- Find the top six GCMs in your Giorgi region.
- Compare temperature and precipitation changes in the 2050s from these six models for a region or watershed of interest using the PCIC Climate Explorer.
- Download one of the GCMs as netCDF that is in the top six in your region of interest using the Statistical Downscaling Climate Scenario data portal.
- 4) When does the netCDF start and when does it end?
- 5) What are the variable names of the files you have downloaded?

Working ahead:

Downloading multiple models from the server using 'weet' statements. This is possible with a point (specified in array indices) or a <u>bbox</u> (a range of indices):

https://data.pacificclimate.org/portal/docs/raster.html#power-user-howto

PCIC - CSHS Webinar July 21st, 2022 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM PST

Title: "Selecting, Applying and Interpreting Climate Projections for Hydrologic Modelling"

Tools and packages for working with NetCDFs:

- R ncdf4:
- https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ncdf4/index.html
 R edal:
- https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rgdal/index.html
 R raster:
 - https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/raster/index.html
- NetCDF Operators (NCO): <u>http://nco.sourceforge.net/</u>
- <u>http://research.jisao.washington.edu/data_sets/nco/</u>
 Climate Data Operators (CDO):
- http://www.idris.fr/media/ada/cdo.pdf https://code.mpimet.mpg.de/projects/cdo/wiki/Tutorial ncview:
- http://meteora.ucsd.edu/~pierce/ncview_home_page.html

References

Cannon, A.J., 2015: Selecting GCM scenarios that span the range of changes in a <u>multimodel</u> ensemble: application to CMIP5 climate extremes <u>indices</u>(link is external). Journal of Climate, 28(3): 1260-1267. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00636.1

Cannon, A.J., S.R. Sobie, and T.Q. Murdock, 2015: Precipitation by Quantile Mapping: How Well Do Methods Preserve Changes in Quantiles and <u>Extremes2(link is external</u>) Journal of Climate, 28(17), 6938-6959, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00754.1.

Giorgi, F. and Francisco, R., 2000: Evaluating uncertainties in the prediction of regional climate change. Geophysical Research Letters, 27(9), 1295-1298, doi:10.1029/1999GL011016.

Hiebert, J., A. Cannon, A. Schoeneberg, S. Sobie, and T. Murdock, 2018: <u>ClimDown</u>: Climate Downscaling in R. The Journal of <u>Open Source</u> Software, 3(22), 360, doi:10.21105/joss.00360.

Hopkinson, R.F., D.W. McKenney, E.J. Milewska, M.F. Hutchinson, P. Papadopol, and L.A. Vincent, 2011: Impact of Aligning Climatological Day on Gridding Daily Maximum–Minimum Temperature and Precipitation over Canada. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 50, 1654–1665. doi:10.1175/2011/AMC2684.1.

Hunter, R. D., and R. K. <u>Meentemexer</u>, 2005: Climatologically Aided Mapping of Daily Precipitation and Temperature. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 44, 1501–1510, doi:10.1175/JAM2295.1.

Eum, H.-I., Y. Dibike, T. Prowse and B. Bonsal, 2014: Inter-comparison of high-resolution gridded climate data sets and their implication on hydrological model simulation over the Athabasca Watershed, Canada. <u>Hydrol</u>. Process., 28, 4250–4271. <u>doi</u>: 10.1002/hyp.10236.

Tutorial #1 - Selecting and Extracting BCCAQv2 Data

1) The Statistical Downscaled Climate Scenarios data portal:

https://www.pacificclimate.org/data/statistically-downscaled-climate-scenarios

2) The Daily Grided Meteorological Dataset data portal:

https://www.pacificclimate.org/data/daily-gridded-meteorological-datasets

3) The PCIC Climate Explorer:

https://www.pacificclimate.org/analysis-tools/pcic-climate-explorer

4) User Docs are your friend:

https://data.pacificclimate.org/portal/docs/raster.html#power-user-howto

Coupled Model Intercomparison Projects (CMIPs).

- CMIPs are a collection of Global Climate Models that work along side the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessment Reports with agreed on approaches.
- PCIC recently used BCCAQv2 to downscale CMIP6. Now available.
- A new GCM selection approach is being developed by Dhouha Ouali, Stephen Sobie, and Charles Curry for CMIP6. This is a PCIC initiative.
- PCIC's Hydrologic Projections are based on CMIP5.